Communication planning
Communication has long been recognised as a key success factor for all those involved in project-based work. "Communication is fundamental to the P3 environment. Poor communication can lead to misunderstood requirements, unclear goals, alienation of stakeholders, ineffective plans and many other factors that will cause a project, programme or portfolio to fail."

There are many existing publications and sources of information about communication as a general management or business skill. Is another document needed? The complications and complexities of projects and programmes can create particular communication challenges. With this guide, the authors have focused specifically on communication for project-based work, and the needs of project professionals. Ann and Tim have many years’ experience in project and programme management and also in the science and practice of communications and stakeholder engagement. In writing this guide, they have managed to distil their knowledge and insights into the core essentials for effective project communication. The result is a concise and accessible document. The first section leads through a simple process of creating a plan, before going on in part two to consider the different factors that make communication work – the psychology and nuances that can influence whether the right people get the right message at the right time. Finally, the last section recognises that communication is a shared responsibility. Achieving positive results depends on enabling others just as much as your own abilities.

The authors are active volunteers within APM. On behalf of People SIG, I would like to thank them both for giving their time and knowledge to produce this document to support the project community. I hope that APM members find it as informative and valuable as I do.

Fran Bodley-Scott
chair, People SIG
April 2020
About this guide

Welcome to this APM People Specific Interest Group (SIG) guide to communication planning and implementation.

Successful communication is critical in virtually every aspect of project, programme and portfolio management. The People SIG team believes firmly that it can make the difference to realising the benefits of a project. The guide has been designed to provide a simple framework that can be used by project managers and communicators supporting projects. The models here encourage a listening approach, attention to diversity and the design of communication to best meet the needs of stakeholders and the project.

- **Part 1:** A straightforward, easy to implement planning process that draws on theory and models.
- **Part 2:** A deep dive into how the process of communication actually works, with practical hints on how to optimise the process.
- **Part 3:** How to get the most from your project communication lead – if you are lucky enough to have someone doing it for you!
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“Failing to plan is planning to fail – or so the saying goes. It can be easy to claim that there isn’t enough time to plan but this becomes a vicious circle; without taking time to plan, effort is unfocussed and more likely to be ineffective. Time and energy are wasted on scatter-gun activity. It is important to break this cycle and spend time on strategic planning. This doesn’t have to be onerous or take a lot of time. It is actually a very simple process and a rewarding one to go through. It is also a good opportunity to involve project team colleagues and stakeholders which helps to gain buy-in for the communication activity.”

From Communicating Projects by Ann Pilkington.

Part 1 Building your communication strategy and plan

Introduction - What is a communication strategy?

A strategy sets out the thinking that informs a project or programme’s communication. It can be thought of a bit like a business case in that it sets out the rationale for a particular approach, with a budget. It is a written description of a strategic planning process that has taken place. A communication strategy differs from a plan. A plan is a collection of tactics with timings that are designed to deliver the strategy. It may sit as an appendix to the strategy document. A plan that simply pulls together a number of tactics without a strategy behind them isn’t strategic and is really just a calendar.

Planning models

There are many communication planning models. The organisation of which your project is a part may have its own approach, so that is a good starting point, otherwise most planning models usually follow the same pattern:

1. What do I want to achieve?
   What are my objectives?
2. Who do I want to talk to?
   Who are my stakeholders and publics?
3. What do I want to say?
   What is the content I want to get across or the dialogue I want to initiate?
4. How will I say it?
   What mechanisms shall I use to get my content or dialogue across?
5. How do I know if I’ve got it right?
   How will I evaluate my work?

More sophisticated models acknowledged that feedback is an ongoing process and Ruck’s RADAR model highlights the need to consider communication theory as part of the strategic planning process:

It sets out five key stages:

- **Research** – what do you know about the situation and the stakeholders?
- **Assess** – think about the right approach and how to use communication theory
- **Decide** – based on this assessment, decide on the tactics and set clear objectives
- **Act** – implement the plan
- **Review** – check how it’s going against the objectives.
The components of a communication strategy document

A typical communication strategy will include the following:

- **Housekeeping.** Including version control, sign off protocols. Explain how often it will be reviewed. Regular reviews and updates are vital.

- **Scope.** What the strategy covers and what it doesn’t, including timescales.

- **Background research.** What do we already know about the situation and stakeholder attitudes?

- **Objectives.** Set SMART, outcome objectives for the project communication.

- **Stakeholders.** Who do you want to engage and how will you segment and prioritise them?

- **Messages and narrative.** What you want to say, the story and key messages.

- **Channels.** What channels you will use including a strategy for each channel.

- **Evaluation.** How you will measure the objectives.

For a more detailed example, see Appendix 1.

**Housekeeping, version control and sign off protocols**

This section is where you can include version control. This will probably be done in line with any processes already in place on the project. It may include at what interval it will be reviewed – for example, at relevant stages of the project life cycle.

An important step that is often missed is a sign off protocol. It can sit here or under its own heading. This simply means who is going to approve communication messages, materials etc and to what timescales. It will apply to the strategy and plan itself too, including any updates. This is really important as constant circulation of drafts and re-drafts can mean missed deadlines and impact on the quality of communication.

**Scope**

For project managers this needs no introduction! This simply explains what the communication strategy covers, and what it doesn’t. This can include timescales. For example, does the strategy run up to a certain point in the project life cycle?

**Background research**

All communication activity should be anchored in research. What do we know about the situation? What do our stakeholders think or feel?

A lot of this can be achieved through desk research but if none is available then primary research can be carried out and use to inform the communication objectives.
Objectives
Every communication strategy should include SMART objectives that are about outcomes – not just outputs. An outcome means what you want people to do as a result of your communication activity, rather than what you are going to do.

SMART objectives should also be:

■ Communication related. Don’t set an objective for something that communication can’t deliver.

■ Singular. An objective that covers more than one thing can’t be measured accurately.

■ About outcomes. What do you want people to think, feel or do?

■ Stakeholder specific. You may want different objectives for different stakeholder groups.

It can be necessary to set output objectives too – for example, how many newsletters will be issued, but something like a newsletter is really a means to an end – it is being produced to bring about an outcome.
Be wary of objectives around engagement based on clicks, likes and shares. This knowledge is useful and can be thought about as a KPI because they contribute to towards achieving an outcome objective, but in themselves they don’t tell you very much. Someone may click or share but it doesn’t mean that they understood the message or agreed with it.

DID YOU KNOW?

Neurolinguistic Programming (NLP) additionally suggests that outcomes should always be stated in the positive. For example, an objective about a business change can be worded along the lines of people understanding the new ways of working, rather than being about people stopping working to the old system.

For more on NLP, see the next section.

Stakeholders

Who does the project need to engage with? Identify your stakeholders in as much granularity as possible. For example, never talk about “the general public” – there is no such thing. Or “all employees” or “the board”. Even within a board of directors there will be different levels of interest and influence.

Diversity: It is at this stage of the planning that diversity should also be considered. Again, a weakness of the traditional power/interest matrix is that it is not designed with this in mind. So, at this stage of your planning process think about the different communication needs and cultural differences of your stakeholders and draw up your communication strategy accordingly.

Once stakeholders have been identified they can be prioritised. This might be using the power/interest matrix. However, the matrix can be problematic in an age where power and influence can sit with a wide range of stakeholders – not just those in positions perceived as powerful. Social media gives many more stakeholders a powerful voice. The traditional matrix suggests that those with high interest but perceived low power do not always need to be considered as important, but if fact these groups and individuals may have special interests which need to be considered.
When | Who | What | Objective | Evaluation and measurement
---|---|---|---|---
March 2021 | Finance department, line managers | Live webinar with project sponsor and project manager | By end of April, all finance line managers will understand their team’s role in implementing the system. | KPI: number of attendees and downloads of recording. Understanding tested through follow up survey. 

Power/interest model of stakeholder mapping. The origin of this matrix is a conference paper presented by Mendelow and it appeared in the highly influential book Exploring Corporate Strategy by Johnson and Scholes in the 1990s.

KEY TERMS EXPLAINED: STAKEHOLDERS

Stakeholders are: Individuals or groups who have an interest or role in the project, programme or portfolio, or are impacted by it.

APM Body of Knowledge 7th edition.

What’s next? Preparing the plan

Now that objectives have been set and stakeholders identified, the communication plan can be put together.

Think of this process like a golden thread that runs through everything – the tactics in your plan should be designed to deliver your objectives and be right for your stakeholders.
Messages or narrative
What are you going to say?

Sometimes this may be as simple as a set of key messages listed in bullet points, or you can set out a narrative using storytelling.

Organisational storytelling is a powerful vehicle for constructing meaning that relies on conventions of plot and characterization, combined with the narrative skill of the storyteller, to entertain, persuade, and win over.

On projects, as with any communication campaign, it’s important that everyone knows and understands what the project wants to say so that messaging is consistent. If something changes, let everyone know.

You may want to say different things to different stakeholders depending on their role in the project or concerns that they may have.

Again, think about diversity. Messages that resonate with one stakeholder group may not with another. Make sure what you say and how you say it is culturally appropriate and takes into consideration different communication preferences (more about this is part 2).

Channels
Channels are the methods by which we talk to our stakeholders.

The selection of channels should be driven by the impact of the change and what stakeholders prefer. The higher the impact, the richer the media should be. Lean channels are suitable for lower impact change.

Remember: “the medium is the message”. This simply means that the way a message is communicated becomes part of the message. It is important that channels are trusted by stakeholders – if the channel is seen as propaganda for example, the content will be too.

Of course, it is also important to make sure the channels work for stakeholders – electronic “pull” channels may not work if the change is complex and stakeholders don’t have easy access to a device. Traditional face-to-face dialogue might work best.

The nature of the channel will also vary through the life of a project. At the start while things are in development, richer channels such as face-to-face may be appropriate. As implementation approaches and the task is to explain to people what they need to do and when, one-way “push” channels may be best.

Every communication strategy should include a channel strategy which explains what type of content each channel is for. Consistency helps stakeholders to navigate their way through the communications.
Measurement and evaluation

All communication activity should be designed to deliver SMART objectives and should, therefore, be measurable.

It makes sense to check how activity is going – you don’t want to wait until it’s all over to find out it didn’t work! Pick the type of research that is most appropriate for the task. An online quantitative survey is good for checking if people have received something, but to find out if they understood it and intend to act on it, qualitative research such as focus group may be best.

This activity should be fed into project lessons learned to help with future project communication.

Honesty matters here, if some research mid-way through a campaign shows that it isn’t having the desired impact, this should be recognised and the campaign re-thought. It is important to encourage an atmosphere where reporting that things aren’t going as well as hoped is seen as a strength and good practice, not a sign of failure.

**KEY TERMS EXPLAINED: CHANNELS**

- **Push and pull** – channels may push content at stakeholders or rely on them pulling the content that they want. Email is an example of a push channel. Posts on social media are more pull because people may or may not see it according to how they engage with that channel.

- **Rich media** - enables people to interpret and reach agreement about difficult and complex issues. Richness is also determined by the ability to offer rapid feedback, multiple cues, natural language, and personal focus. An example would be face-to-face workshops.

- **Lean media** - appropriate for communicating about routine activities. For example a poster campaign. Not to be confused with Convincer channels, as described in Part 2.
Useful links and resources

APM Body of Knowledge – communication:
www.apm.org.uk/body-of-knowledge/people/interpersonal-skills/communication

APM resources for stakeholder engagement:
www.apm.org.uk/resources/find-a-resource/stakeholder-engagement

UK Government Evaluation Framework planning model 2.0:
https://gcs.civilservice.gov.uk/guidance/strategic-communication/evaluation/

Communicating Projects by Ann Pilkington:

PR Academy’s PR Place Guide to Writing a Communication Strategy, available at:
pracademy.co.uk/insights/how-to-develop-and-write-a-communication-strategy
Part 2 How communication works

Now you have a strategy and a plan, how can you ensure that the way you communicate will be effective?

Introducing communication

Communication doesn’t just happen, it’s a highly complex set of layers, rules and parts. It generally starts with one individual and ends with one or more other individuals (not limited to humans). A commonly held view of the mechanics of language, developed by Noam Chomsky and others in the 1970s suggested there is a Universal Grammar that is innate in humans, in other words a ‘Deep Structure’ that we all have, and that this is translated into a ‘surface structure’ – which is what we might call common language. It is through language that we construct our world view.

The work of Chomsky and other linguists of the era was taken forward in a set of disciplines and research that nowadays is collectively known as Neurolinguistic Programming (NLP) and generally this works across all cultures. A central tenet of NLP is that the value of a communication is the meaning received. Relevant bits of the discoveries and research of this branch of science are summarised here, with particularly emphasis on ensuring that a communication’s meaning really hits the spot.

Let’s consider some of the more basic language patterns that we all use, an understanding of which will help to communicate better.

Primary representational systems [“the map is not the territory”]

We all construct our world view through patterns that describe how we experience it. For reasons that are not totally clear, but are demonstrable, there are three primary systems of representing our experience. All of us have a preferred (default) system but often use the others depending on context. They are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Visual (45% of population)</th>
<th>Auditory (15% of population)</th>
<th>Kinaesthetic (40% of population)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Each group typically use the following types of construct in their conversation with others (although are usually not aware that they are doing it!)</td>
<td>What does good look like? Sounds about right… Does that feel about right…?</td>
<td>You see what I mean…? Would you say that…? Take you on a journey…</td>
<td>Show me… Let’s discuss… In the first place…</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Communication is more effective when the primary representational systems between the person transmitting and the person receiving match. Conversely, when it mismatches, communication is less likely to work as well. It is not always possible to change this on the fly.
HOW TO USE THIS IN PRACTICE:

Observe the patterns people are using (whether written or spoken) and try to respond in the same representational system – the chances are the interaction will work better. For example, if you think they may be visual, use terms such as: “What does good look like?”.

Convincing: how we come to believe things, or make others believe them

Convincer channels

What type of information does a person need to gather in order to be convinced of something? There is evidence that the things that make us believe something are acquired through specific channels:

- **See**: 55% of us are convinced when we see the evidence ‘with our own eyes’ – beware fake news etc
- **Hear**: 30% of us will be more convinced when we hear something told by others
- **Read**: only 3% of us will be totally convinced by reading something written down
- **Do**: 12% of us are only really convinced when we do it ourselves – ‘walk the talk’

Convincer mode

How does a person treat information gathered in order to become convinced? Whatever convincer channels we favour have to impact on us enough to make the convincing complete:

- **Number of examples**: 52% of us are convinced more when we see something repeated – and this has a subcategory regarding the number of repeats that do it for us!
- **Automatic**: 8% of us just ‘get it’ without a need for further impact
- **Consistency**: 15% of us are convinced by evidence that supports itself in a consistent way
- **Period of time**: 25% of us come to be convinced by the passage of time

HOW TO USE THIS IN PRACTICE:

If you believe your audience will just take things on trust then just communicate; if you think you need to demonstrate, then do that; if you think they will ‘get it’ by doing it themselves, then get them to do that – say three times; if you need to show supporting evidence then collect that in advance. The simplest way to build this is to use a mix of convincers in the expectation that an audience will be made up of a mix of channels/modes and so incorporate different methods to reach as many people as possible. Respond to what works best.
Neurological levels

A central belief of NLP and other theories of how we work describes our existence as having successively higher levels of refinement. Similar in structure to Maslow’s Hierarchy of Needs, the system of Neurological Levels described by Gregory Bateson and developed later by Robert Dilts suggests the following levels (sometimes called the Logical Levels of Change). It describes the levels that we operate at in our daily lives:

- **Purpose** – a spiritual reason for doing anything.
- **Identity** – ‘Belongingness’, the group we are part of, the Team, what we do things for.
- **Values & beliefs** - What is important? Why do we do it?
- **Capabilities** - What am I / are they capable of? How to do it?
- **Behaviour** - What to do? What action? The primary level that impacts on others
- **Environment** - Where to do it? When to do it? Is it possible, here?

This model works both at individual level but can be applied at team or organisational level to good effect. The central theory holds that the higher up the levels you go, the more powerful the effect. Some are easier to change – you can change the environment: the tools, place and timings of a project relatively easily; it’s harder to get people to understand the value of double-checking things, or that the team really can hit its deadlines; harder still to convince people they are part of something bigger and more important than they are as individuals.

Applying the Logical Levels model can bring about change quickly and powerfully if done well (if done crudely or without authenticity it can have the reverse effect!).

**HOW TO USE THIS IN PRACTICE:**

If you want to bring people together in a common purpose, then appeal to them at the Identity level, and stress the values we want to enshrine. Behaviours will only follow when the higher levels are in line i.e. It’s not going to work telling people to change their behaviours unless they feel part of some group that does not behave like that. ‘Chunking up’ gets you to higher level drivers as in “What’s important about x?” and ‘chunking down’ lets you understand lower level preventers, as in “What stops you from y?”.
Metaprogrammes

We are all operating filters and mental ‘programmes’ when we think and communicate, although below the level of consciousness. Typically, these are a set of continuums between polar opposites, the commonly used categories being shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extreme A</th>
<th>Extreme B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Taking initiative</td>
<td>Others take the lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivated towards action</td>
<td>Motivated to wait, gather facts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toward goals</td>
<td>Away from problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decide for myself</td>
<td>Influenced by others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer procedures</td>
<td>Prefer options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow existing procedures</td>
<td>Create alternative options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make sense of world as an overview</td>
<td>Work with detailed sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think in global, random order</td>
<td>Think &amp; talk in specific sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most productive when working alone</td>
<td>Most productive in team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer sole responsibility</td>
<td>Like to share responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want short-term roles</td>
<td>Want long-term roles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most people’s thinking and behavioural preferences for each category lie along a spectrum between the two extremes. For example, we often speak of someone as ‘a details person’ or a ‘big picture person’. In saying that, we are simply observing the seventh metaprogramme in the table above and making a judgement about where we think a person lies on that spectrum.

It is normal to find a standard distribution pattern across the spectrum, with maxima approximately near the centre, however a note of caution: while the metaprogramme spectrum is fairly constant among different cultures or groupings, we may find differences in where these maxima lie.

The author’s own research into the decision-making characteristics of a group of western project managers of both genders suggested preferences tending towards the blue extremes highlighted in the table.

**HOW TO USE THIS IN PRACTICE:**

Bear in mind that the highlighted parts of the table above are a very rough guide to a cross-section of project professionals’ metaprogramme preferences (and strongly caveated as a generalisation), however, as always, listen out for cues and then respond using the patterns you are hearing and seeing. Bringing out those particular options in project communications might help the message ‘hit the spot’.
Verbal and non-verbal

Written only communication (emails, reports) lack a verbal component. The Association for Project Management *Body of Knowledge 7th edition* touches on this in section 3.3.1 Communication. Psychology researcher Albert Mehrabian’s 1967 proposal has suggested a formula that guides conversational meaning received (when an emotion is involved):

- 7% of a message pertaining to feelings is the words spoken.
- 38% of a message pertaining to feelings is the way the words are spoken.
- 55% of a message pertaining to feelings is in the facial expression.

Although this theory is now considered too simplistic, a broader and more general interpretation would be that congruence between all three will be more likely to convey the meaning intended. Rehearsing a speech in front of a mirror can help!

HOW TO USE THIS IN PRACTICE:

When speaking on an issue that involves values or beliefs for example, if the emotion in the words you’re saying doesn’t line up with the emotion in your tone of voice or facial expression, your listener will probably believe the latter.

First and second (and third) perceptual position

There are three basic perceptual positions we use when communicating. These refer to whose ‘voice’ or point of view we are taking on. The three classic perceptual positions are:

1. **FIRST POSITION – ‘ME, MYSELF, I’**
   This is what I want to say; my own message; what I want to get across to you; a broadcast. Using this position is normally necessary in communication – why else would anyone do it unless they had something to say? However, if you use only the first position, there is a danger you will lose your audience, or you may be perceived as single minded, arrogant, opinionated, unsympathetic.

2. **SECOND POSITION – ‘WALKING IN SOMEONE’S SHOES’**
   This position takes account of what the other might be experiencing. Using this voice demonstrates you are mindful of your audience’s needs, feelings, beliefs, where they come from. Using first and second together mixes it up in a way that gives the impression of a more complete communication, it demonstrates empathy.

3. **THIRD POSITION – ‘FLY ON THE WALL’**
   Third position is more reflective that the other two and can be used to take a more rounded view and can take the sting out of the heat generated by two entrenched positions; Third Position does a ‘time-out’ and asks ‘What would someone else understand about this interchange’?
HOW TO USE THIS IN PRACTICE:

Perceptual positions are used a great deal in coaching, where there is personal interaction. They can be used to good effect when designing a communication plan or making a presentation. Saying something like: ‘You might well ask...’ or asking for audience responses demonstrates good use of second position. Taking a neutral stance on a polarised subject, citing 3rd party research or offering a reflective view would be to use the third position.

Listening

Many of the techniques noted above cannot happen without the skills of listening, and this is covered elsewhere. A communication process that does not involve some element of listening is essentially a broadcast; while this may be what is needed in some circumstances (e.g. orders, commands, safety-critical communications), it is not a two-way transaction.

Active listening is a key part of communication, and this should never be underestimated. Good listening is done with for purpose of understanding; however, most people do not listen with the intent to understand; they listen with the intent to reply.\(^{15}\)

Useful links and resources

Richard Bandler and John Grinder, co-founders of NLP:
www.purenlp.com and www.johngrinder.com

Sleight of Mouth: The Magic of Conversational Belief Change by Robert Dilts

Who’s Listening? Research into organisational listening available at pracademy.co.uk/insights/whos-listening

PR Academy PR Place Guide to using communication theory available at: pracademy.co.uk/insights/guide-using-communication-theory
Part 3 Getting the most from your communication lead

It is often not enough to employ a communication lead and leave them to get on with it. There are things they will need from the project and as a project manager, there are things that you should expect from them.

The following are some tips for an effective relationship and positive results:

- Communication needs to be involved from the start. The project needs to be on the "front foot" with stakeholders and ensure that they are being listened to. Bringing communication in too late makes it hard to get things back on track and the project may never recover fully.

- Communication is a specialist area of practice. Make sure you seek the advice of your communication lead – don’t tell them what to do, tell them what you want to achieve.

- Make setting the SMART communication objectives a collaborative exercise, ensure everyone has bought into them and they are the right ones for your project. Ensure that they are relevant, measurable and most important of all focussed on outcomes not outputs (see the section on objectives in part one). Having an objective to deliver a number of events or quantity of briefings is useful, but you need to know that they have achieved the outcome you need to help your project succeed.

- Give time to communication on your board or steering group meeting agenda. For every item ask about the implications for communication. Of course, communication should also be a standalone item where you receive an update on progress but avoid it always being the last item on the agenda, it always gets rushed when this is the case. Expect your communication lead to come to the meeting with an update against the agreed objectives at the appropriate time.

- Communication is two-way which means listening to stakeholder feedback but also being prepared to act on it where possible. Look to your communication lead to plan and facilitate this dialogue.
# Appendix one

## Communication strategy template

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Introduction and background</strong></td>
<td>Set the scene for the reader. Explain the context in which the communication strategy has been developed. Set out what you already know about the situation and your stakeholders. What research has been done to inform the communication strategy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td>What will and won’t be addressed through the strategy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roles and responsibilities</strong></td>
<td>Outline who is responsible for what. This may include explaining the relationship between communication and business change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stakeholders</strong></td>
<td>Set out who the stakeholders are, how they are being prioritised, engaged and contact tracked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aims and communication objectives</strong></td>
<td>Give the SMART objectives of the communication strategy here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There will be a number of objectives, possibly a different set for each stakeholder group, and these will change over the life of the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic approach</strong></td>
<td>Explain the strategic approach here. For example, is this a campaign that simply needs to ‘tell’ stakeholders something or does it require a co-creation approach with stakeholders contributing to the debate and shaping the decisions?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key messages and narrative</strong></td>
<td>What is the overarching narrative? The narrative gives the rationale for the change and explains what is going to happen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key messages go into more specific detail. Give the key messages here. Messages will be different according to the stakeholder group. They should be designed to deliver the communication objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Channels</strong></td>
<td>Talk here about what channels will be used to deliver the communication strategy. For example, will existing trusted channels be used or is there a need to create new channels? What channels will work best for your stakeholders. Explain how they will be used, for example, what type of content will go into each channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Media and external relations</strong></td>
<td>This section may or may not be needed depending on the nature of the project. If the project is largely external facing, then the whole strategy will reflect the need to work with the media. However, even when the project is mostly internal there will still be the potential for media interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risks and dependencies</strong></td>
<td>Risks and issues should be captured and tracked within the appropriate risk register and that can be explained here. Highlight any dependencies for the successful delivery of the strategy. This might include budget or other resource.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resources and budget</strong></td>
<td>Who will do it and how much will it cost?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sign-off protocols</strong></td>
<td>Set out how communication messages and products will be signed off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>Explain how the strategy will be evaluated. This should be against the objectives that have been set and agreed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reporting</strong></td>
<td>Set out how and when performance against the strategy will be reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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