



General Notes

The oral exam is based on the case study "Whiteline Domestic".

Candidates can refer to the case study during the oral exam.

This assessment is worth a total of 40 marks.

Four learning outcomes will be assessed with 10 marks available per learning outcome.

There are 12 questions that assess learning outcomes across the three Units.

APM Sample – Oral Exam Questions		
Candidate Number		
Exam Date		
Exam Location		

Please take note of the command verbs in each question.

Verb	Definition
Critically evaluate	To form a judgement backed by a range of reliable and valid sources of information and supporting analysis. A critical evaluation must use this backing to reach an informed suggestion, recommendation or finding.
Critically analyse	To examine in methodical detail a range of reliable and valid source of information to interpret or analyse a given subject. A critical analysis does not require any form of judgement (e.g. a recommendation or proposal).
Demonstrate	Exhibit by practical means. (Please note that all demonstrate assessment criteria will be assessed via means of the scenario assessment)

PROFESSIONAL Question 1	APM Project Professional Qualification 2nd edition Oral exam question
Question 1	
Learning Outcome	Maintain an engaged and constructive team through a positive approach to conflict management.
Marks	10 marks
Question part (a)	With reference to the case study, critically analyse the ways in which the project manager will ensure awareness of the conflicts within the project team.
Question part (b)	Critically analyse the approaches you could take to manage the conflict between Gabrielle (HR manager) and Toni (admin manager).
Question part (c)	Critically evaluate the ways in which to minimise the impact of the conflict between the accelerated move date required by the Leadership team and the already delayed date.

Question 2	
Learning Outcome	Use information to inform reviews and help manage deviations from a project plan.
Marks	10 marks
Question part (a)	Alastair has fallen behind with the project documentation; critically evaluate what information you need to gather in order to establish effective progress monitoring and reporting.
Question part (b)	Project documentation is being saved to a private 'Dropbox' created by Alastair, critically evaluate how this may create problems aligning reviews with organisational requirements and steps you would take to improve.
Question part (c)	Critically analyse the problems you will encounter as a result of the project plan not being circulated to the team prior to Alastair's departure.

Notes

Ouestion 3	APM Project Professional Qualification 2nd edition Oral exam questions
Question 3	
Learning Outcome	Respond to risks and minimise threats and increase opportunities.
Marks	10 marks
Question part (a)	Critically analyse the approach taken when compiling the existing Risk Register and discuss alternate approaches to risk identification and analysis which may be more appropriate.
Question part (b)	Referencing Risk ID 1 and Risk ID 4, critically evaluate the validity of the Mitigation Actions. In your judgement, would there be a more appropriate response to these risks?
Question part (c)	Critically evaluate why is it important that the management of risks for this project should be reviewed?

Notes

Respond to issues in a way that supports the management of a project.
10 marks
Critically evaluate Alastair's approach to documenting and planning responses to issues and how you would manage issues in the future.
Critically evaluate the importance for you to review issues raised in project 2.2 and their resolution when planning your approach to project 2.3.
Critically evaluate the implementation of appropriate responses to the issue of seating arrangements in the project and justify your approach.

End of Questions

Notes



Association for Project Management

Ibis House, Regent ParkTel. (UK)0845 458 1944Summerleys RoadTel. (Int.) +44 1844 271 640Princes RisboroughEmailqualifications@apm.org.ukBuckinghamshire HP27 9LEWebapm.org.uk